UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,396	02/05/2002	Richard St.Clair Bailey	MS190455.01	4779
	7590 08/01/200 CORPORATION	EXAMINER		
ONE MICROS	OFT WAY	ROSWELL, MICHAEL		
REDMOND, WA 98052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/072,396	BAILEY ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Roswell	2173				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Michael Roswell</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Nathan Grebasch</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>09 July 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>42</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lum, Rosenzweig</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed a proposed amendment to the claims, and the combination of the Lum and Rosenzweig references. Applicant also discussed the perceived differences of the cited prior art and the claimed "list manager". Applicant noted their intention to formally file remarks reflecting points discussed in the interview. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
_	/Michael Roswell/ Examiner's signature, if requi	red				